

## 2014 Putnam Problem 3B

Jesse Freeman

December 8, 2014

**Proposition 1.** Suppose that  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with rational entries such that the matrix of absolute values of  $A$ ,  $\tilde{A}$ , contains at least  $m + n$  distinct primes. Prove that  $A$  has rank at least two.

*Proof.* Note that it suffices to show that not all rows of  $A$  are scalar multiples of the first row of  $A$ .

Throughout this problem, we let  $S$  denote a set of  $m + n$  distinct primes in  $\tilde{A}$ . First, we prove a lemma:

**Lemma 2.** Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices with  $n$  edges. Then,  $G$  contains a loop.

*Proof.* Proceed by induction. The case  $n = 1$  is trivial. Now, consider a graph  $G$  with  $n + 1$  vertices and  $n$  edges. By the pigeonhole principle,  $G$  must contain a vertex  $v$  of index at most one. Excise  $v$  and its edges from  $G$ . If  $v$  has index zero, remove  $v$  and any edge. What remains is a subgraph with  $n$  vertices and  $n$  edges. By our inductive hypothesis, this subgraph contains a loop. So,  $G$  contains a loop.  $\square$

To proceed, we will first solve the case when  $A$  is  $n \times n$ , then show that this argument effectively handles the general  $m \times n$  case.

Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix satisfying the conditions of the proposition. Define a graph  $G(A)$  on  $A$  as follows. Draw a vertex  $v_i$  corresponding to each column  $c_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Now, define a function on the rows of  $A$  as follows. Let

$$p : \{\text{rows of } A\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$$
$$p(r_i) = \#\{\text{elements of } S \text{ in row } i\}$$

Begin in row 1. Consider the  $C_1$  of columns containing an element in  $S$  in row one. Draw a connected tree between the vertices corresponding to columns in  $C_1$ . Note that this tree has  $p(r_1) - 1$  edges. Do the same for row  $j$ , for  $2 \leq j \leq n$ , adding the new edges onto edges we have already drawn. Note that each row  $r_i$  adds  $p(r_i) - 1$  edges.

Decompose  $S$  as follows. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $S_i$  be the primes in  $S$  contained in row  $i$  of  $A$ . Note  $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$ .

**Lemma 3.**  $G(A)$  contains a loop.

*Proof.* We will now count the number of edges in  $G(A)$ , which we will call  $E(G(A))$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
E(G(A)) &= \sum_{i=1}^n p(r_i) - 1 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n p(r_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n 1 \\
&= 2n - n \\
&= n
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from lemma 2 that  $G(A)$  contains a loop. □

Now, suppose that all columns of  $A$  are scalar multiples of the first column (that  $A$  has rank at most one). Then, when two vertices  $v_i, v_j$  are connected by an edge in  $G(A)$ ,  $c_i = \lambda c_j$ , where  $\lambda$  can be expressed as a ratio of elements of  $S$  that live in the same row of  $A$ .

Choose a vertex  $v_i$  contained in a loop of  $G$ . Then, there are scalars  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
c_i &= \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k c_j \\
c_i &= \lambda_0 c_j
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\lambda_i|$  is a ratio of distinct primes in  $S$ .

We will derive a contradiction from the equation

$$|\lambda_0| = |\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k| \tag{1}$$

By performing an elementary row operation, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\lambda_0$  is the ratio of two primes in  $S_1$ , say  $p_0/p_1$ .

Our graph was constructed so that if  $\lambda_{i_1}, \dots, \lambda_{i_r}$  are ratios of primes in  $S_j$ , then  $\lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_r} \neq 1$ . In particular, this product, in lowest terms will contain at least one primes in  $S_j$  in both its numerator and denominator.

Also, by construction, product  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k$  must contain a  $\lambda_k$  such that  $\lambda_k$  is a ratio of primes in  $S_i$  for  $i > 1$ . This is because row 1 induced no loops in the graph. By the previous observation, one such ratio  $p_2/p_3$  remains when  $\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k$  is expressed in lowest terms. Clearing the denominator, we have

$$p_3 p_0 p_1^* \dots p_s^* = p_1 p_2 p_1' \dots p_s'$$

where the other primes are in  $S$ . This contradicts the fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

This proves the  $n \times n$  case. Note that in an  $m \times n$  matrix for  $m > n$ . Then, there must be a row that contains 1 or fewer elements of  $S$ . Perform a row operation to move this row to the bottom and consider the upper  $m - 1 \times n$  submatrix. Repeat until we have an  $n \times n$  matrix containing  $2n$  entries and apply the previous proof. □